organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

M. Subha Nandhini,^a M. Srinivasan,^b R. V. Krishnakumar,^c A. Mostad,^d S. Perumal,^b S. Selvaraj^b and S. Natarajan^a*

^aDepartment of Physics, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625021, India, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai 625021, India, ^cDepartment of Physics, Thiagarajar College, Madurai 625009, India, and ^dDepartment of Chemistry, University of Oslo, PO Box 1033 Blindern, N-0315 Oslo 3, Norway

Correspondence e-mail: s_natarajan50@yahoo.com

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 105 KMean $\sigma(C-C) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.039 wR factor = 0.127 Data-to-parameter ratio = 16.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

2,6-Bis(4-methylphenyl)-3-phenylpiperidin-4-one

In the title molecule, $C_{25}H_{25}NO$, the piperidone ring adopts a slightly distorted chair conformation. The two methylphenyl rings are oriented differently with reference to the central piperidone plane. The crystal packing is characterized by C-H… π and C-H…O interactions. It can be concluded that the stable conformation is the same in the solid state as in solution.

Comment

2,6-Disubstituted piperidine derivatives are found to possess fungicidal, bactericidal and herbicidal activities (Mobio *et al.*, 1989). A series of investigations on the synthesis and NMR studies of 2,6-disubstituted piperidine derivatives with variation in the substituent groups and exchange of their position in the phenyl rings is underway in our laboratory. It is known that the influence of a simple exchange of substituents in organic molecules leads to totally different crystal and molecular structures. In this context, elucidation of crystal structures of these molecules using precise X-ray data are of considerable interest and importance, and are expected to throw light on the nature of specific interactions and consequent structure– function relationships. We report here the structure of 2,6bis(4-methylphenyl)-3-phenylpiperidin-4-one, (I).



Fig. 1 shows the atom-numbering scheme adopted for (I). The piperidone ring has a slightly distorted chair conformation, which seems to be similar to those observed in related structures reported by Nilofar Nissa *et al.* (2001), Singh *et al.* (1990), Sekar *et al.* (1990, 1993) and Jia *et al.* (1988). Also, NMR studies (Perumal *et al.*, 2003) are found to agree well with the conformation found in the solid state. The *p*-methylphenyl and phenyl rings are oriented equatorially with respect to the piperidone ring.

The C-C and C-N distances agree well with expected values. The angle at which the 4-methyphenyl at C6 [70.1 (1)°] is oriented with reference to the plane constituting atoms C2, C3, C5 and C6 of the piperidone ring is less than that of the 4-methylphenyl at C2 [87 (1)°] and 3-phenyl at C3 [81.9 (1)°]. This can be ascribed to the greater steric interaction between the 4-methylphenyl group at C2 and the phenyl ring at C3.

Received 27 August 2003 Accepted 9 September 2003 Online 24 September 2003



Figure 1

Molecular structure of (I), with the atom-numbering scheme and 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2 Packing diagram of (I), viewed down the c axis.

While the 4-methylphenyl ring at C6 does not have much steric interactions with the groups present in the piperidone ring system. It is also pertinent to note that the torsion angle H2-C2-C3-H3 of 172° points to a larger deviation (8°) from the perfectly diaxial (anti) relationship, while the torsion angle H6-C6-C5-H5A (in sterically less crowded positions) of -177° , shows a smaller deviation (3°) from the perfectly diaxial relationship.

In the crystal structure, inversion-related molecules aggregate into layers parallel to the ac plane (Fig. 2). Adjacent layers are linked together by $C-H \cdots \pi$ interactions (Table 2). The H atom, H1N, is not involved in any significant hydrogen bonds. Apart from the interactions listed in Table 2, there are no other notable intermolecular contacts.

Experimental

Ammonium acetate (0.95 g, 0.015 mol) was dissolved in ethanol (3 ml) by heating. 4-Methylbenzaldehyde (1.8 ml, 0.015 mol) and phenylacetone (1 g, 0.0075 mol) were added to this solution, and the mixture was heated until the colour of the solution changed to orange. The solution was stored at room temperature for 2 days, the precipitate filtered off, washed with cold ethanol and recrystallized from ethanol-ethyl acetate (4:1) mixture; yield: 57%.

Crystal data

C25H25NO $D_x = 1.193 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $M_r = 355.46$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Cell parameters from 1024 a = 15.214 (3) Åreflections b = 8.7408(17) Å $\theta = 1.3 - 26.8^{\circ}$ $\mu=0.07~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ c = 14.885(3) Å $\beta = 90.75 \ (3)^{\circ}$ T = 105 (2) KV = 1979.3 (7) Å³ Prism, colourless Z = 4 $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART area-detector 3499 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ diffractometer $R_{\rm int} = 0.037$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 26.8^{\circ}$ ω scans $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$ Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 1998) $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 17$ $T_{\min} = 0.98, \ T_{\max} = 0.99$ 22265 measured reflections 4231 independent reflections

Refinement

25

Н

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2($
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$	+ 0.58
$wR(F^2) = 0.128$	where <i>I</i>
S = 1.06	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} <$
4231 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0$
250 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -$
H atoms treated by a mixture of	
independent and constrained	
refinement	

F_o^2 + (0.071P)² 78P] $f = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ 0.001 $.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ -0.22 e Å⁻³

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

O1-C4	1.2120 (16)	C3-C4	1.5306 (18)
N1-C2	1.4675 (17)	C4-C5	1.5075 (18)
N1-C6	1.4689 (17)	C5-C6	1.5398 (18)
C2-C3	1.5586 (18)		
C6-N1-C2-C14	177.47 (10)	C2-C3-C4-C5	-43.50 (15)
C6-N1-C2-C3	-61.87 (14)	C3-C4-C5-C6	47.79 (15)
N1-C2-C3-C21	176.25 (10)	C2-N1-C6-C5	65.86 (14)
N1-C2-C3-C4	48.01 (14)	C4-C5-C6-N1	-56.19 (14)
C2-C3-C4-O1	138.49 (13)	C4-C5-C6-C7	-177.50 (10)

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$\overline{D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A}$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\overline{C8-H8\cdots O1^{i}}$	0.93	2 67	3 507 (2)	150
$C6-H6\cdots O1^{i}$	0.98	2.67	3.407 (2)	132
$C2-H2\cdots Cg1^{ii}$	0.98	3.22	4.051 (2)	143
$C5-H5A\cdots Cg1^{iii}$	0.97	2.70	3.573 (2)	150
$C25-H25\cdots Cg2^{iv}$	0.93	2.83	3.662 (2)	150
$C20-H20\cdots Cg3$	0.93	3.36	3.702 (2)	104

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, 2-y, 1-z; (ii) $-x, y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iii) $-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-z$; (iv) $x, \frac{3}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+z$. Notes: Cg1, Cg2 and Cg3 denote the centroids of rings C7-C10/ C12,C13, C14-C17/C19,C20 and C21-C26, respectively.

Atom H1N was found in a difference Fourier map and its positional parameters and $U_{\rm iso}$ value were refined. All other H atoms were placed in calculated positions and allowed to ride on their parent C atoms, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.98 Å and the $U_{\rm iso}$ values set to $1.5U_{\rm eq}$ (parent atom) for the methyl H atoms and $1.2U_{\rm eq}$ (parent atom) for the other H atoms. A rotating-group model was used for the methyl groups.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SMART*; data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*97 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL*97.

MSN and SN thank the UGC for the Special Assistance Programme. SP thanks the UGC and DST, India, for major Research Projects.

References

Bruker (1998). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruker (1999). *SMART-NT* (Version 5.0) and *SAINT-NT* (Version 5.0). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Jia, Z.-C., Quail, J. W., Arora, V. K. & Dimmock, J. R. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 2114–2117.
- Mobio, I. G., Soldatenkov, A. T., Federov, V. O., Ageev, E. A., Sargeeva, N. D., Lin, S., Stashenko, E. E., Prostakov, N. S. & Andreeva, W. I. (1989). *Khim. Farm. Zh.* 23, 421–427.
- Nilofar Nissa, M., Velmurugan, D., Narasimhan, S., Rajagopal, V. & Kim, M.-J. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0996–0998.
- Perumal, S., Srinivasan, M. & Selvaraj, S. (2003). Indian J. Chem. Submitted. Sekar, K., Parthasarathy, S. & Radhakrishnan, T. R. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 1153–1155.
- Sekar, K., Parthasarathy, S. & Radhakrishnan, T. R. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 93–95.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Singh, P., Levine, S. G. & Kasdore, K. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 2469-2470.
- Spek, A. L. (1999). PLATON. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.